MINORITY MEDIA AND OPICINATELECOMMUNICATIONS COUNCIL

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

3636 16th Street N.W., Suite BG-54 Washington, D.C. 20010

DEC 1 9 2002

David Honig, Executive Director Phone: (202) 332-7005 Fax: (202) 382-7511

e-mail: dhonig@crosslink.net

Henry M. Rivera Chairperson

Erwin Krasnow Vice Chairperson

December 10, 2002

Lawrence Roberts Secretary

Everett C. Parker Treasurer

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Andrew C. Barren Antoinette Cook Bush Jeneba Jalloh Ghatt Julia Johnson Erwin Krasnow Nicolaine Lazarre Alex Nogales Everett C. Parker Henry M. Rivera Lawrence Roberls Andrew Schwartzman S. Jenell Triaa Herbert Wilkins

BOARD OF ADVISORS

Raul Alarcon. Jr. Eddie Arnold Tyrone Brown Amador Bustos Angela Campbell **Thomas Castro** Jannelle Dales Belva Davis Moctesuma Esparza Jerome Fowlkes Frank Halfacre John Hane Janis Hazel Ragan A. Henry Lea Hindery Reginald Hollinger Larry Irving Eli Noam Vincent A Pepper Benjamin Perez Linda Eckard Vilardo Anthony Williams Edward Young

Hon. Marlene Dortch

Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Dortch:

RE: MM Docket No. 98-204 (Broadcast and Cable EEO Rules)

Pursuant to 47 CFR §1.1206, this will disclose that in connection with these permit-but-disclose proceedings, the Minority Media and Telecommunications Council ("MMTC") had a meeting on November 21, 2002 in the Office of Commissioner Copps. Present at the meeting were Alexis Johns, Esq., Legal Advisor to Commissioner Copps, and myself on behalf of The meeting concerned issues that might form the basis for an appeal of the Second R&O in the proceeding, which had been released the previous day. \pm /

^{*/} Leave is respectfully requested to accept this letter notwithstanding its late filing. The undersigned erroneously believed that discussions regarding appealability of issues are not covered by permit-but-disclose; however, upon checking with O.G.C. it appears that this exemption is limited to discussions with O.G.C. itself.

Hon. Marlene Dortch December 10, 2002 Paae Two.

The issues raised were:

ORIGINAL

- 1. Whether the Commission erred by omitting a discussion of the need to promote diversity and competition and to remedy the effects of past discrimination.
- 2. Whether the Commission erred by failing to address an empirical study which showed that 15-36% of broadcast or cable companies discriminate intentionally, and by omitting a discussion of the record's anecdotal evidence of discrimination and of EEO "backsliding" (e.g. deletion by many broadcasters of "EOE" tags on job notices).
- 3. Whether the Commission erroneously suggested that statistical data cannot and will not be used as evidence of intentional discrimination, notwithstanding clear Supreme Court precedent to the contrary.
- 4. Whether the Commission erred by exempting stations operated by nonlicensees under an LMA. <u>See Second R&O</u>, ¶147.
- 5. Whether the Commission erred by suggesting that newspaper advertisements for a general manager would ensure "wide dissemination reasonably calculated to reach the entire community." See Second R&O, n. 147.
- 6. Whether the Commission erred by creating a "small market exemption" grounded on a population size cap for which no basis is found in the record. See Second R&O, \$170.

An original and two copies of this letter are being filed with the Secretary.

Sincerely

David Honig

Executive Director

cc: Alexis Johns, Esq.

/dh